Synthesis of Precocene 1 Epoxide (2,2-Dimethyl-3,4-epoxy-7-methoxy-2H-1-benzopyran)

By RICHARD C. JENNINGS* and ANTHONY P. OTTRIDGE

(A.R.C. Unit of Invertebrate Chemistry and Physiology, School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9RQ)

Summary The synthesis of the title compound, a possible reactive intermediate responsible for the biological activity of precocene 1, is described.

THE naturally occurring chromenes precocene 1 (1) and 2 $(2)^1$ when applied to the early larval instars of certain insect species cause them to moult precociously to prothetelic² adults. The compounds appear to act by terminating the production of juvenile hormones (JH) by selectively



destroying the *corpora allata* (c.a.), the glands where the JH are made.³ The reports^{4,5} that the diol (4) is a metabolite of (2) in some insect species coupled with the fact that carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and other toxins such as bromobenzene are activated biologically by epoxidation⁶ suggested that the epoxides (5) and (6) might be the species responsible for the activity of (1) and (2) perhaps being produced by a lethal synthesis in the c.a. themselves.⁷ We now describe the synthesis of (5) and find that its reactivity is in accord with this hypothesis.

The synthesis of (5) has been attempted previously⁸ and the synthesis of (6) by the epoxidation of (2) with *m*-chloro peroxybenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA) has been claimed⁴ but disputed subsequently.⁹ Treatment of (1) with *m*-CPBA even under buffered conditions¹⁰ gave only a mixture (1:1) of the *cis* and *trans* isomers of the ester† (7). Exposure of (1) to t-butyl hydroperoxide in the presence of VO(acac)₂ (acacH = pentane-2,4-dione) gave only recovered starting material but in the presence of Mo(CO)₆¹¹ the peroxide (8) was formed. Reaction of (1) with *N*-bromosuccinimide in aqueous tetrahydrofuran (THF) gave the bromohydrin (9).



This, on treatment with anhydrous K_2CO_3 in methanol,¹² gave (10). These results all suggest that a reactive epoxide is being formed which is trapped by any nucleophile present.¹³ The bromohydrin (9) was treated therefore with

[†] All new compounds were characterised by the appropriate physical methods.

J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1979

sodium hydride (4 equiv.) in THF (ambient temperature, 45 min). Filtration and evaporation gave the desired $epoxide_{\pm}^{+}$ (5) (88%) which could be purified only by crystallisation [hexane-ethyl acetate; m.p. 45-46 °C; vmax (film) 1620, 1510, 1450, 1200, 1160, 1135, 1100, and 1035 cm⁻¹; δ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.25 and 1.57 (6H, 2 s, 2,2-Me₂), 3.42 (1H, d, J 4.5 Hz, 3H), 3.74 (3H, s, OMe), 3.85 (1H, d, J 4.5 Hz, 4-H), 6.25-6.5 (2H, m, 6- and 8-H), and 7.15 (1H, d, / 8 Hz, 5-H)].

The compound does not survive chromatography on silica or alumina or g.l.c. under conditions¹⁴ which permit the analysis of other sensitive epoxides. The epoxide ring is opened readily by nucleophiles, even water (pH 7.2,

 $20 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, $< 1 \,\text{min}$) being sufficient to convert (5) into a mixture (1:1.7) of the *cis*- and *trans*-diols (3), respectively. This behaviour is reminiscent of that of the carcinogen r-7,t-8-dihydroxy-t-9,10-epoxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo-[a] pyrene¹⁵ and related compounds and reinforces our hypothesis concerning the mode of action of (1) and (2).

We thank Prof. A. W. Johnson for his interest and encouragement, A. F. Hamnett for some experimental assistance, Dr. F. A. Mellon for mass spectra, and P. E. Meadows for n.m.r. spectra.

(Received, 28th June 1979; Com. 689.)

[‡] We thank Prof. D. Soderlund, Cornell University, for informing us of his successful use of these reaction conditions to prepare (6).

¹ W. S. Bowers, T. Ohta, J. S. Cleere, and P. A. Marsella, Science, 1976, 193, 542.

- ² V. B. Wigglesworth, 'The Principles of Insect Physiology,' Methuen, London, 2nd edn., 1965, p. 73.
 ³ G. E. Pratt and W. S. Bowers, *Nature*, 1977, 265, 548; M. P. Pener, L. Orshan, and J. de Wilde, *ibid.*, 1978, 272, 350; G. C.
- Unnithan, K. K. Nair, and W. S. Bowers, J. Insect Physiol., 1977, 23, 1081; H. Schooneveld, Experientia, 1979, 35, 363. ⁴ T. Ohta, R. J. Kuhr, and W. S. Bowers, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1977, 25, 478.

 - ⁵ M. E. Burt, R. J. Kuhr, and W. S. Bowers, Pesticide Biochem. Physiol., 1978, 9, 300.
 - ⁶ P. B. Hulbert, Nature, 1975, 256, 146.
 - ⁷ G. T. Brooks, G. E. Pratt, and R. C. Jennings, Nature, in the press.
- * J. D. Hepworth and R. Livingstone, J. Chem. Soc. (C.), 1966, 2013.
 * B. J. Bergot, K. J. Judy, D. A. Schooley, and L. Tsai, Pesticide Biochem. Physiol., submitted for publication.
 * W. K. Anderson and T. Veysoglu, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 2267; M. Imuta and H. Ziffer, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 1351.
- ¹⁴ K. B. Sharpless and R. C. Michaelson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 6136.
 ¹² C. A. Henrick, F. Schaub, and J. B. Siddall, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 5374.
 ¹³ H. S. Ryang and S. Y. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 1191.
 ¹⁴ A. F. Hamnett and G. E. Pratt, J. Chromatogr., 1978, 158, 387.
 ¹⁵ S. K. Varg, D. W. McCaurt, and H. W. Colbein, J. Amer. Soc., 1077, 90, 51

- ¹⁵ S. K. Yang, D. W. McCourt, and H. V. Gelboin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 5130.